IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

Writ Petition No :- 1065 /2001.

PETITIONER :- Chaman Das son of Shri Ram Das, aged 30 years, resident of Quarter No.50. DSS, Raipur Naka, Durg, Chhattisgarh.

Vs.

RESPONDENT :- Steel Authority of India Ltd, Bhilai Steel Plant, a Company incorporated under Indian Company's Act.1956, with its Head Office at Ispat Bhawan, Lodhi Road, New Delhi, and functioning at Bhilai under the name and style Bhilai Steel Plant, Steel Authority of India Ltd.

Through:- Its Managing Director, Bhilai Steel Plant, Ispat Bhawan, Bhilai Nagar.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 of the CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

P.R. No. 1118/01 Presented by Shri Prabhat K. Shrivastava dated 8.7.01

60

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition No. 1065 of 2001

Petitioner : Chaman Das

Versus

Respondent : Steel Authority of India Ltd, Bhilai Steel Plant

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri N.K. Shukla, Senior counsel with Shri Sunil Mersa, counsel for the respondent.

ORAL ORDER

(20th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Shri Ramdas, father of the petitioner was employed as Senior Vehicle Operator in the Medical Department of Bhilai Steel Plant.

2. Shri Ramdas suffered severe attack of Cardio Vascular Accident and Hemiplegia. As a result of, father of the petitioner became paralytic and finally he was declared medically unfit and as such he was discharged from service vide order dated 29.10.1992. The petitioner was a member of the Family Benefit Scheme, which was introduced on 21.11.1992. Shri Ramdas was granted full salary till the date he would have retired on superannuation. Shri Ramdas was thereafter granted all the benefits i.e. provident fund gratuity and other benefits under the Family Benefit Scheme.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner confines his submission to the effect that the petitioner be granted leave to make a representation before the authorities in the light of the judgment and order dated 5th May, 2000 passed by the Supreme Court in

Balbir Kaur and another V. Steel Authority of India Ltd., and others ((2000) 6 Supreme Court Cases 493) for grant of compassionate appointment, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection.

4. The petitioner is accordingly granted permission to make appropriate representation before the competent authorities in the light of judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of Balbir Kaur and another (supra) within two weeks. In the event, such representation is made, the authorities are directed to consider the same within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

5. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu